

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/803,918	03/13/2001	Jean-Michel Dayer	06843.0035-00000	8922
22852	7590 10/27/2003		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			HUYNH, PHUONG N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/803,918	DAYER ET AL.
,,	Examin r	Art Unit
	Phuong Huynh	1644
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the c ver sheet with the c	rrespondenc address
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires Three months from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		lered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: None.		
Claim(s) objected to: None.		
Claim(s) rejected: 9,10,15-17,36-43 and 46-49.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-8, 11-14,	18-35, 44-45 and 50-61.	
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $___$ is a	a) approved or b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
0. Other:		
		I

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 9, 10, 15, and 16 raises the issue under USC 112 second paragraph because the phrase "inhibits T cell activation of monocytes" is ambiguous since monocytes and T cells are two separate types of cells. It is not clear if the claimed polypeptide inhibits T cell activation or monocytes activation and whether the inhibition is directly or indirectly. The paragraph pointed out by applicant does not disclose polypeptide "inhibits T cell activation of monocytes". Further, the proposed amendment to claims 9, 10, 15 and 16 raises the of enablement and written description that would require further search and consideration because the claimed polypeptide now "inhibits T cell cell activation of monocytes". Finally, the proposed amendment fails address the enablement and written description rejections in the office action mailed 7/2/03, for example, "nucleotide seugence which hybridizes under moderately or highly stringent conditions". Note the specific condition for hybridization used by applicant is not recited in the claims. As to the enablement and written description rejection of a fusion protein (claims 46, and 48) comprising the claimed polypeptide and a "heterologous amino acid sequence", there is insufficient guidance as how to make, much less how to use the claimed fusion protein because the structure of the "heterologous amino acid sequence" in the claimed fusion protein is not disclosed. Since the "heterologous.

CHRISTINA CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600